

# Hereditary Associated Orders for Hopf-Galois Structures on Tame Extensions

Paul Truman

Keele University, UK

## Maximal Associated Orders

- Let  $L/K$  be a finite Galois extension of  $p$ -adic fields and  $H = L[N]^G$  a Hopf algebra giving a Hopf-Galois structure on the extension.
- Write  $\Lambda = \mathfrak{D}_L[N]$ . Note that  $|N| = |G|$ .

### Theorem (PT)

*Suppose that  $H$  is commutative and  $p \nmid [L : K]$ . Then  $\Lambda^G$  is the unique maximal order in  $H$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_H = \Lambda^G$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_L$  is a free  $\Lambda^G$ -module.*

- The second and third conclusions follow from the first:
- Since  $\Lambda^G$  is a maximal order, it is hereditary.
- So  $\mathfrak{D}_L$  is a finitely generated projective  $\Lambda^G$ -module.
- Also,  $\mathfrak{D}_L \otimes_{\mathfrak{D}_K} K = L$  is a free  $\Lambda^G \otimes_{\mathfrak{D}_K} K = H$ -module of rank one.
- Since  $\Lambda^G$  is a maximal order, (or since  $H$  is commutative), this implies that  $\mathfrak{D}_L$  is a free  $\Lambda^G$ -module.

# Maximal Associated Orders

## Theorem (PT)

*Suppose that  $H$  is commutative and  $p \nmid [L : K]$ . Then  $\Lambda^G$  is the unique maximal order in  $H$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_H = \Lambda^G$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_L$  is a free  $\Lambda^G$ -module.*

- Can we drop the hypothesis that  $H$  should be commutative?
- If  $H$  is noncommutative then it need not contain a unique maximal order, but if  $\Lambda^G$  is a maximal order in  $H$ , then the other conclusions will follow.
- Motivation: Hopf-Galois module structure of tame Galois extensions of degree  $pq$ . Would like to restrict attention to cases where the residue characteristic is either  $p$  or  $q$ .

## Skew Group Rings

- Let  $R$  be a ring with unity and  $G$  a finite group of automorphisms of  $R$ .
- The Skew Group Ring  $R * G$  is the free  $R$ -module whose basis is the elements of  $G$ , with multiplication defined by

$$(rg)(sh) = r(g^{-1}s)gh \text{ for all } r, s \in R, g, h \in G.$$

### Proposition

Suppose that  $|G|^{-1} \in R$ , and let  $e$  denote the idempotent  $\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g$  of  $R * G$ . Then

$$R^G \cong e(R * G)e.$$

### Proposition

Consider  $R$  as a right  $R * G$ -module. Then  $R^G \cong \text{End}_{R * G}(R)$ .

# $\Lambda^G$ is a Hereditary Order

## Theorem

Suppose that  $p \nmid |G|$ . Then  $\Lambda * G$  and  $\Lambda^G$  are both hereditary.

## Proof.

- Since  $p \nmid |G| = |N|$ ,  $\Lambda = \mathfrak{D}_L[N]$  is a maximal  $\mathfrak{D}_L$ -order in  $L[N]$ , so  $\Lambda$  is hereditary.
- Since  $\Lambda$  is hereditary and contains a central element of trace 1,  $\Lambda * G$  is hereditary.
- Since  $\Lambda * G$  is hereditary,  $e(\Lambda * G)e \cong \Lambda^G$  is hereditary.

□

- So  $\mathfrak{D}_L$  is a finitely generated projective  $\Lambda^G$ -module, and  $L$  is a free  $H$ -module.
- Does this imply that  $\mathfrak{D}_L$  is a free  $\Lambda^G$ -module? One way to achieve this is to show that  $\Lambda^G$  is maximal.

## Is $\Lambda^G$ a Maximal Order?

- Continue to assume that  $p \nmid |G| = |N|$ .

### Proposition

Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a maximal  $\mathfrak{D}_K$ -order in a separable  $K$ -algebra  $A$ , and that  $M$  is a  $\Gamma$ -lattice. Then  $\text{End}_\Gamma(M)$  is a maximal  $\mathfrak{D}_K$ -order in  $\text{End}_A(KM)$ .

- If  $\Lambda * G$  is a maximal order in  $L[N] * G$ , then  $\Lambda$  is a  $\Lambda * G$ -lattice, and so  $\text{End}_{\Lambda * G}(\Lambda) \cong \Lambda^G$  is a maximal order in  $\text{End}_{L[N] * G}(L[N]) \cong H$ .

### Proposition

$\mathfrak{D}_L * G$  is a maximal order in  $L * G$  if and only if  $L/K$  is unramified.

- In our case, it is certainly sufficient that  $L/K$  be unramified, but is it necessary?

## Another Route: Conductors

- Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a maximal  $\mathfrak{D}_K$ -order in  $H$  containing  $\Lambda^G$ .
- Define

$$[\Gamma, \Lambda^G]_l = \{x \in H \mid x\Gamma \subseteq \Lambda^G\}$$

$$[\Gamma, \Lambda^G]_r = \{x \in H \mid \Gamma x \subseteq \Lambda^G\}$$

- Since  $\Lambda^G$  is Hereditary, we have

$$\Gamma \cdot [\Gamma, \Lambda^G]_l = \Gamma.$$

- Clearly  $[\Gamma, \Lambda^G]_l$  is a right  $\Gamma$ -module. If it is also a left  $\Gamma$ -module, then we would have

$$[\Gamma, \Lambda^G]_l = \Gamma,$$

and we would be done.

- So a sufficient condition for  $\Lambda^G$  to be maximal is that

$$[\Gamma, \Lambda^G]_l = [\Gamma, \Lambda^G]_r.$$

## Symmetric Orders

- Call an order  $\mathfrak{B}$  in a separable  $K$ -algebra  $A$  *Symmetric* if there is a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form  $\tau : \mathfrak{B} \times \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_K$  such  $\mathfrak{B}$  is selfdual with respect to  $\tau$ :

$$\mathfrak{B}^* = \{a \in A \mid \tau(a, b) \in \mathfrak{D}_K \text{ for all } b \in \mathfrak{B}\} = \mathfrak{B}.$$

### Proposition

If  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a symmetric order and  $\Gamma$  is a maximal order containing  $\mathfrak{B}$  then

$$[\Gamma : \mathfrak{B}]_l = [\Gamma : \mathfrak{B}]_r.$$

### Proposition

If  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a symmetric order in  $A$  and  $e \in \mathfrak{B}$  is an idempotent then  $e\mathfrak{B}e$  is a symmetric order in  $eAe$ .



# Symmetric Orders

- So if we can find a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on  $L[N] * G$  with respect to which  $\Lambda * G$  is selfdual, then  $\Lambda^G \cong e(\Lambda * G)e$  is a symmetric order, and we will be done.
- There is a nondegenerate bilinear form on  $L[N] * G$  with these properties, but it is not symmetric. It does induce a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on  $\Lambda^G \cong e(\Lambda * G)e$ , however.
- There is a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on  $H$ , but  $\Lambda^G$  is not selfdual with respect to this form!

# Hattori's Theorem

- We do not actually **need**  $\Lambda^G$  to be maximal.
- What we need is a result such as  
“If  $M, N$  are finitely generated projective  $\Lambda^G$  modules and  $K \otimes M \cong K \otimes N$  as  $K \otimes \Lambda^G$ -modules then  $M \cong N$  as  $\Lambda^G$ -modules.”
- Swan's Theorem is that such a result holds for group rings.
- One way to prove Swan's theorem is using Hattori's theorem:

## Theorem (Hattori)

- *Let  $A$  be a finite  $\mathfrak{D}_K$ -algebra.*
- *Let  $[A, A]$  be the  $\mathfrak{D}_K$ -submodule generated by all commutators  $ab - ba$ .*
- *Suppose that  $A/[A, A]$  is  $\mathfrak{D}_K$ -torsion free.*

*Then two finitely generated projective  $A$ -modules  $M, N$  are isomorphic if and only if  $K \otimes M$  and  $K \otimes N$  are isomorphic  $K \otimes A$ -modules.*

# Separability

- In the case of the group ring  $\Lambda$ , we have

$$\Lambda/[\Lambda, \Lambda] \cong Z(\Lambda) \text{ as } Z(\Lambda)\text{-modules.}$$

- Could this also be the case for  $\Lambda^G$ ?

- We have

- $Z(\Lambda^G) = Z(\Lambda)^G$ .
- $[\Lambda^G, \Lambda^G] \subseteq [\Lambda, \Lambda]^G$ .

- A sufficient condition for

$$\Lambda^G/[\Lambda^G, \Lambda^G] \cong Z(\Lambda^G) \text{ as } \Lambda^G\text{-modules}$$

is that  $\Lambda^G$  be separable over  $\mathfrak{D}_K$ .

- But this is stronger than  $\Lambda^G$  being maximal!

# Separability

- In fact, it would be sufficient to show that  $\Lambda^G$  is separable over  $Z(\Lambda^G)$  i.e. it is Azumaya.
- I can say a little about the centres:
  - $Z(\Lambda^G)$  is the unique maximal  $\mathfrak{D}_K$ -order in  $Z(H)$
  - I think I have found a criterion for  $Z(\Lambda^G)$  to be separable over  $\mathfrak{D}_K$

But neither of these seem to help very much!

- Thank you for your patience!
- Any thoughts?