

Module theory and Cartan matrices: a result of Schneider and its context

Cornelius Greither

25th May, 2016

Abstract

We begin by explaining the background, made up by module theory and a little K-theory. Then we present the Cartan matrix and the Cartan-Brauer triangle in some detail and try to elucidate these concepts by simple examples. Then we state Schneider's result: if R is a complete discrete valuation ring which has characteristic 0 and has p in its radical, and H is a finite cocommutative Hopf algebra over R , then the Cartan matrix is nonsingular, and we explain the important consequence in Hopf Galois theory: two projective H -modules are isomorphic as soon as they become isomorphic after base change to the quotient field of R .

0 The rings and modules in play

Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring, $\text{rad}(R)$ its radical, $k = R/\text{rad}(R)$ its residue field. We always suppose that R has characteristic 0 and that k has characteristic p . Let K be the field of fractions of R . Then (R, K, k) is a so-called *modular triple*. Let B be an R -algebra, finitely generated and projective as an R -module, and let A be a finite-dimensional k -algebra.

Remark:

- 1) The objects A and k will often be studied in their own right, but:
- 2) Whenever B and R are present, it will be understood that $A = k \otimes_R B = B/\text{rad}(R)B$. In this situation, we call B a *lift* of A . The algebras B and A may be Hopf algebras over the appropriate rings.

Example: For any finite group D , one can take the data $R = \mathbb{Z}_p$, $k = \mathbb{F}_p$, $B = \mathbb{Z}_p[D]$ and $A = \mathbb{F}_p[D]$.

1 Some module theory

All of the modules that we consider are finitely generated. Let $S \in \{k, A, R, B\}$.

A *projective cover* of a (left) S -module M is a projective (left) S -module P along with a surjective S -module homomorphism $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ such that $\ker(\pi) \subset \text{rad}(P) = \text{rad}(S)P$. Projective covers exist, and are unique up to (non-unique) isomorphism.

Examples:

- 1) For any $x \in \text{rad}(S)$, the projection $\pi : S \rightarrow S/Sx$ is a projective cover.
- 2) If G is a finite p -group and $S = \mathbb{F}_p[G]$, the augmentation map $\varepsilon : S \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ is a projective cover.

Now look at the k -algebra A . It is semisimple if and only if all A -modules are projective. In full generality, there are only a finite number of simple A -modules (up to isomorphism of course), say F_1, \dots, F_r , and a finite number of indecomposable projective A -modules, say U_1, \dots, U_r . Note that there are the same number of each:

Proposition 1. *There is a bijection $\{F_i\} \leftrightarrow \{U_i\}$. In one direction, a simple A -module F_i is sent to its projective cover over A . In the other, an indecomposable A -module U_i is sent to $U_i/\text{rad}(A)U_i$.*

Moreover, one can say that each U_i occurs as an ideal in A , and as a left A -module, A is the direct sum of indecomposable projectives (possibly with repetitions).

Now enters B (recall that in this situation $A = k \otimes_R B$).

Proposition 2. *Let the modules U_i be defined as above, and let P_i denote the indecomposable projective B -modules. Then there is a bijection $\{P_i\} \leftrightarrow \{U_i\}$. In one direction, an indecomposable B -module P_i is sent to $k \otimes_R P_i$. In the other, an indecomposable A -module U_i is sent to the projective cover of U_i over B .*

The *proof* uses lifting idempotents against a surjective homomorphism with topologically nilpotent kernel.

2 Review of K_0 and G_0

Let $S \in \{k, R, A, B\}$. Recall that

$$K_0(S) = \{\text{projective } S\text{-modules}\} / \text{short exact sequences,}$$

(a short exact sequence $0 \leftarrow P' \rightarrow P \rightarrow P'' \rightarrow 0$ gives the relation $[P] = [P'] + [P'']$), and

$$G_0(S) = \{S\text{-modules of finite length}\} / \text{short exact sequences.}$$

If S is artinian (for example, if $S = A$) then $G_0(S)$ is a free \mathbb{Z} -module on $[F_1], \dots, [F_r]$ (the classes of the simple A -modules). If $S = A$ (respectively, $S = B$) then $K_0(S)$ is a free \mathbb{Z} -module on $[U_1], \dots, [U_r]$ (respectively, on $[P_1], \dots, [P_r]$). Therefore we have isomorphisms of abelian groups

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(B) &\cong K_0(A) \cong G_0(A), \\ [P_i] &\mapsto [U_i] \mapsto [F_i]. \end{aligned}$$

3 The Cartan matrix

Define $car : K_0(A) \rightarrow G_0(A)$ by $[P] \mapsto [P]$ for each A -module P . Note that this is *not* the same map as the one appearing between these two groups at the end of the previous section. More precisely: Let $C = (c_{ij})$ be the representing matrix for car with respect to the \mathbb{Z} -bases $\{[U_i]\}$ and $\{[F_j]\}$ of $K_0(A)$ and $G_0(A)$ respectively. Then c_{ij} tells us how often the simple module F_j occurs in a composition series for the indecomposable projective module U_i .

Examples:

1) If A is semisimple then $U_i = F_i$ for all i , so C is the identity matrix.

2) If A is commutative then $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r A_i$ where each A_i is a local ring with residue field k_i . In this case we have $U_i = 0 \times \cdots \times A_i \times \cdots \times 0$ and $F_i = 0 \times \cdots \times k_i \times \cdots \times 0$, so C is diagonal, with c_{ii} equal to the length of A_i .

3) Let $A = \mathbb{F}_2[S_3]$, where $S_3 = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^3 = \tau^2 = 1, \tau\sigma = \sigma^2\tau \rangle$. Then

$$A = U_1 \times U_2,$$

where $U_1 = \frac{\mathbb{F}_2[\tau]}{(\tau^2 - 1)}$ is an indecomposable, but not simple, A -module, and U_2 is a simple A -module. This decomposition is induced from the decomposition

$$\mathbb{F}_2[\sigma] = \mathbb{F}_2 \oplus \frac{\mathbb{F}_2[\sigma]}{(\sigma^2 + \sigma + 1)}.$$

The simple A -modules are $F_1 = \mathbb{F}_2$ (with trivial action) and $F_2 = U_2$ (appearing in the decomposition above). In this case we find that that

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

4) [Schneider]. Let k have characteristic 2, and let $A = k[x, e]$ with $x^2 = 0$, $e^2 = e$ and $[x, e] = x$. It turns out that $\dim_k(A) = 4$, $\text{rad}(A) = Ax$, and

$$\bar{A} = \frac{A}{\text{rad}(A)} = \frac{k[e]}{(e^2 - e)} = k \times k.$$

There are two simple A -modules (each a copy of k with zero action of x): F_1 , which is annihilated by e , and F_2 , which is annihilated by $1 - e$. The indecomposable projectives are $U_1 = Ae$ and $U_2 = A(1 - e)$. We have a composition series

$$0 \subset Axe \subset Ae.$$

The quotient $\frac{Ae}{Axe}$ is annihilated by $1 - e$ and x , so it is isomorphic to F_2 . In Axe we have $exe = (xe + x)e = x(e - 1)e = 0$, so Axe is isomorphic to F_1 . Continuing in this

way, we find that

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is singular! (The algebra A in this example is in fact a Hopf algebra, corresponding to the group scheme $\alpha_2 \rtimes \mu_2$.)

4 The Cartan-Brauer Triangle

There is a commutative triangle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_0(K \otimes_R B) & & \\
 \uparrow K \otimes_R - & \searrow \text{dec} & \\
 K_0(B) & & G_0(A) \\
 \uparrow \text{lifting} & \nearrow \text{car} & \\
 K_0(A) & &
 \end{array}$$

Here the map dec is the so-called decomposition homomorphism.

(Added after the conference) The definition of dec goes as follows. Given a module M over $K \otimes_R B$, pick a finitely generated R -submodule $\mathcal{L} \subset M$ spanning M over K . (Such submodules \mathcal{L} are called lattices in M .) Define (!) $dec(M) = [k \otimes_R \mathcal{L}]$. The catch is of course that it is not clear whether this is independent of the choice of lattice \mathcal{L} . But this can indeed be proved, by a pretty argument which is not too complicated. As soon as one knows that dec is well defined, it is easy to prove that it is a homomorphism and that the diagram commutes.

Proposition 3. *If C is nonsingular¹ then the following conditional statement is true:*

If P, P' are projective over B and $K \otimes_R P \cong K \otimes_R P'$ as $K \otimes_R B$ -modules, then $P \cong P'$.

Proof. We are assuming that car is injective; and then the commutative triangle shows that the map $K \otimes_R -$ is also injective. Hence $K \otimes_R P \cong K \otimes_R P'$ implies that the classes of P and P' in $K_0(B)$ are the same. Hence P and P' are stably isomorphic over B . Under our assumptions the Krull dimension of B is 1, so stable isomorphism implies isomorphism. \square

The goal of the rest of the talk is now to show that C is nonsingular in the case that B is an R -Hopf algebra.

5 Schneider's result

Theorem 4. *If B is a cocommutative R -Hopf algebra (finitely generated, projective over R) and $A = k \otimes_R B$, then the Cartan matrix $C = C_A$ is nonsingular.*

¹that is, if the integer $\det C$ is not zero; we're not saying anything about invertibility

Remark: Schneider also proves that C is symmetric, and that $\det C$ is a power of the characteristic of k if k is a finite field; but we will not deal with these extra statements.

Recall that in example (4) of section 3 we had $C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore the corresponding k -algebra A does not come from any Hopf algebra B over R : in Schneider's terminology, A is not liftable.

Plan of the Proof:

- 1) If B is commutative, then we're done. Indeed, since R is complete, B is a finite product of commutative local rings, and then, as said before, C is a nonsingular diagonal matrix.
- 2) Show the statement for H an order in $K[D]$, the group algebra of a finite group D . This part is modelled on the pre-existing proof in the case that $H = R[D]$. In that case, suppose that $x \in K_0(k[D])$ is in the kernel of car and lies in

$$\bigcup_{\substack{C \leq D \\ C \text{ cyclic}}} \text{ind}_C^D K_0(k[C])$$

(note that each $k[C]$ is commutative). Then one gets that x is zero, using some commutative diagrams and the trivial circumstance that group rings of cyclic groups are commutative (see part 1)). Now use Frobenius functors: these allow us to replace \bigcup with \sum . This sum has finite index in $K_0(k[D])$ by Brauer's Induction Theorem. Since $K_0(k[D])$ is \mathbb{Z} -torsion free, we have $\ker(car) = 0$.

- 3) Reduce the general case to the case that $K \otimes_R H$ is a group ring, by a fairly straightforward descent argument.

Acknowledgments: The author would like to cordially thank Griff Elder for all the work he put into the organization of the Omaha 2016 workshop, and also Paul Truman for producing this very nice typeset version, from the notes he took of my old-fashioned white-board lecture.