

# Classifying bidihedral skew braces

## Part I: constraints and necessary conditions

Paul Truman

Keele University, UK

Hopf algebras and Galois module theory

Agnes Scott College, May 2026

# Overview

- Joint work with Alan Koch.

## Aim

Classify skew braces  $(G, \bullet, \circ)$  in which  $G^\bullet \cong G^\circ \cong D_n$  for some  $n$ . We call such skew braces **bidihedral**. In this talk we will focus on the conditions and constraints that a bidihedral skew brace must satisfy.

- Skew braces,  $\gamma$ -functions, ideals
- An important ideal  $(H, \bullet, \circ)$  in a bidihedral skew brace  $(G, \bullet, \circ)$
- If  $(G, \bullet, \circ)$  is bidihedral and  $H^\circ$  is cyclic then ...
- If  $(G, \bullet, \circ)$  is bidihedral and  $H^\circ$  is dihedral then ...

## Our favourite circle of ideas

Let  $G, N$  be finite groups of the same order.

There are correspondences between:

- Regular subgroups of  $\text{Perm}(G)$  isomorphic to  $N$  and normalized by  $\lambda(G)$ ;
- Regular subgroups of  $\text{Hol}(N)$  isomorphic to  $G$ ;
- Hopf-Galois structures of type  $N$  on a  $G$ -Galois extension;
- Skew braces with multiplicative group isomorphic to  $G$  and additive group isomorphic to  $N$ .

It is natural to classify or enumerate the possibilities for given combinations of  $G$  and  $N$ .

For instance: what if  $G \cong N \cong D_n$  (order  $2n$ )?

## A bidihedral classification

### Theorem (Kohl, 2020)

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $K_n = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_n \mid k^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}\}$ , and let  $\kappa_n = |K_n|$ . Then the number of Hopf-Galois structures of type  $D_n$  on a  $D_n$ -Galois extension is

$$\begin{cases} \kappa_n & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 3, 5, 7 \pmod{8} \\ (n+1)\kappa_n & \text{if } n \equiv 2, 6 \pmod{8} \\ \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)\kappa_n & \text{if } n \equiv 4 \pmod{8} \\ \left(\frac{n}{2} + 2\right)\kappa_n & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

We seek the equivalent classification of skew braces.

Why not extract information from Kohl's results?

## Skew braces and their $\gamma$ -functions

### Definition

A **Skew (left) brace** is a triple  $(G, \bullet, \circ)$  in which  $G^\bullet$  and  $G^\circ$  are groups and

$$x \circ (yz) = (x \circ y)x^{-1}(x \circ z) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in G.$$

Here  $x^{-1}$  denotes the inverse of  $x$  in  $G^\bullet$ .

The two group structures in a skew brace share the same identity element  $e \in G$ , but inverse may differ: the inverse of  $x$  in  $G^\circ$  is denoted  $\bar{x}$ .

If  $(G, \bullet, \circ)$  is a skew brace then for each  $x \in G$  the function defined by  $\gamma_x(y) = x^{-1}(x \circ y)$  is an automorphism of  $G^\bullet$ .

The function  $x \mapsto \gamma_x$  is a homomorphism from  $G^\circ$  into  $\text{Aut}(G^\bullet)$ , called the  **$\gamma$ -function** of the skew brace.

## An ideal situation

The  $\gamma$ -function of a skew brace relates the two operations: we have

$$x \circ y = x\gamma_x(y).$$

Hence the  $\gamma$ -function determines  $\circ$ .

It can also be used to characterize substructures such as **ideals** (kernels of skew brace homomorphisms):

A subset  $H$  of  $G$  is an ideal if and only if

- $\gamma_x(y) \in H$  for all  $x \in G$  and  $y \in H$ ;
- $H^\bullet \trianglelefteq G^\bullet$ ;
- $H^\circ \trianglelefteq G^\circ$ .

If  $H$  satisfies the first condition and is a subgroup with respect to one operation then it is a subgroup with respect to the other.

Still have to check normality!

## An important ideal in a bidihedral skew brace

Let  $G = (G, \bullet, \circ)$  be a bidihedral skew brace of order  $2n$ , and write

$$G^\bullet = \langle r, s \mid r^n = s^2 = e, srs^{-1} = r^{-1} \rangle.$$

### Proposition

Let  $H = \langle r \rangle_\bullet$ . Then  $H$  is an ideal of  $G$ .

### Proof.

Clearly  $H^\bullet \leq G^\bullet$ ; in fact it is characteristic.

Hence  $\gamma_x(y) \in H$  for all  $x \in G$  and  $y \in H$ , and  $H^\bullet \trianglelefteq G^\bullet$ .

It follows that  $H^\circ \leq G^\circ$ . Since it has index 2, it is normal. □

- $(H, \bullet, \circ)$  is an ideal of  $G$  with  $H^\bullet$  cyclic and  $H^\circ$  cyclic or dihedral.
- Strategy: apply existing classification results to  $H$  and investigate additional constraints arising from the fact that  $H$  embeds and an ideal in  $G$ .

## The case $H^\circ$ cyclic

### Proposition (Rump, 2007)

The circle operations on  $H^\bullet$  such that  $(H, \bullet, \circ)$  is a skew brace with  $H^\circ$  cyclic are precisely

$$r^i \circ r^j = r^{i+j+dij}$$

where

- $d$  divides  $n$ ;
- every prime number dividing  $n$  also divides  $d$ ;
- if  $4 \mid n$  then  $4 \mid d$ .

## $H^\circ$ cyclic: constraints on the $\gamma$ -function

Recall:  $r^i \circ r^j = r^{i+j+dij}$  with  $d \mid n$ ,  $p \mid d$  for all  $p \mid n$ , and  $4 \mid d$  if  $4 \mid n$ .

### Question

What additional constraints arise from the fact that  $H$  is embedded as an ideal in  $G$ ?

- $H^\circ$  is also the rotations in  $G^\circ$ , and is generated by  $r$ .
- Hence  $s$  is a reflection in  $G^\circ$ , and  $G^\circ$  is generated by  $r$  and  $s$ .
- The  $\gamma$ -function of  $(G, \bullet, \circ)$  is determined by

$$\gamma_r(r), \gamma_r(s), \gamma_s(r), \gamma_s(s).$$

## $H^\circ$ cyclic: constraints on the $\gamma$ -function

Recall:  $r^i \circ r^j = r^{i+j+dij}$  with  $d \mid n$ ,  $p \mid d$  for all  $p \mid n$ , and  $4 \mid d$  if  $4 \mid n$ .

### Lemma

$$\gamma_r(r) = r^{1+d}.$$

### Proof.

From the definition we have  $\gamma_r(r) = r^{-1}(r^{1+1+d}) = r^{1+d}$ . □

### Lemma

$$\gamma_s(s) = s.$$

### Proof.

Recall that  $s$  is a reflection in both  $G^\bullet$  and  $G^\circ$ .

From the definition we have  $\gamma_s(s) = s^{-1}(s \circ s) = s^{-1}e = s$ . □

## $H^\circ$ cyclic: constraints on the $\gamma$ -function

### Lemma

$\gamma_s(r) = r^k$  with  $k^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

### Proof.

We have  $\gamma_s(r) = r^k$  for some  $k$  coprime to  $n$ , but

$$\gamma_s^2(r) = \gamma_{s \circ s}(r) = \gamma_e(r) = r,$$

so in fact  $k^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . □

- We still need to determine  $\gamma_r(s)$ .
- Before we do this, we derive some constraints on  $d$ .

## $H^\circ$ cyclic: constraints on $d$

### Lemma

$$d = \begin{cases} n \text{ or } n/2 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{8} \\ n & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Recall  $d \mid n$ ,  $p \mid n \Rightarrow p \mid d$ ,  $4 \mid n \Rightarrow 4 \mid d$ .

### Proof.

We have  $s \circ r = \bar{r} \circ s$ , so  $\gamma_s \gamma_r(r) = \gamma_{s \circ r}(r) = \gamma_{\bar{r} \circ s}(r) = \gamma_r^{-1} \gamma_s(r)$ .

Thus  $r^{k(1+d)} = r^{k(1+d)^{-1}}$ , so  $(1+d)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

Hence  $d(d+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ .

Write  $n = 2^\ell m$  with  $m$  odd.

Then  $d \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ , and  $d \equiv 0 \pmod{2^\ell}$  unless  $\ell \geq 3$ , when  $d \equiv 2^{\ell-1} \pmod{2^\ell}$  is also possible. □

## $H^\circ$ cyclic: final constraint on the $\gamma$ -function

Recall:  $d = n$  or  $n/2$ ,

$$\gamma_r(r) = r^{1+d}, \gamma_s(s) = s, \gamma_s(r) = r^k \text{ with } k^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

### Lemma

$$\gamma_r(s) = r^{(1+d)k-1}s.$$

### Proof.

From the definition we have  $\gamma_r(s) = r^{-1}(r \circ s) = r^{-1}(s \circ \bar{r})$ .

Using the information we have derived about  $d$ , we find  $\bar{r} = r^{-(1+d)}$ .

Hence

$$\gamma_r(s) = r^{-1}(s \circ r^{-(1+d)}) = r^{-1}s\gamma_s(r)^{-(1+d)}.$$

We know  $\gamma_s(r) = r^k$ , so

$$\gamma_r(s) = r^{-1}sr^{-(1+d)k} = r^{(1+d)k-1}s.$$



## Summary for $H^\circ$ cyclic

Let  $(G, \bullet, \circ)$  be a bidihedral skew brace.

Write  $G^\bullet = \langle r, s \rangle$ , let  $H = \langle r \rangle$ , and suppose that  $H^\circ$  is cyclic.

Then  $G^\circ$  is also generated by  $r$  and  $s$  and

$$\gamma_s(r) = r^k \text{ with } k^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

$$\gamma_s(s) = s$$

$$\gamma_r(r) = r^{1+d}$$

$$\gamma_r(s) = r^{(1+d)k-1}s,$$

where

$$d = \begin{cases} n \text{ or } n/2 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{8} \\ n & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

### Question

Which of these can we realize? What are the isomorphism classes?

## The case $H^\circ$ dihedral

Recall  $|G| = 2n$ ; write  $n = |H| = 2^\ell m$  with  $m$  odd. Suppose  $\ell \geq 1$ .

### Proposition (Byott and Ferri, 2025)

A circle operation on  $H^\bullet$  such that  $(H, \bullet, \circ)$  is a skew brace with  $H^\circ$  dihedral is given by

$$r^i \circ r^j = r^{i+(-1)^i j}. \quad (1)$$

If  $\ell \neq 2$  then this is the only possibility.

If  $\ell = 2$  then there are **two** further possibilities:

$$r^{4i_4 + mi_m} \circ r^{4j_4 + mj_m} = r^{4(i_4 + (-1)^{\frac{i_m(i_m \pm 1)}{2}} j_4) + m(i_4 + (-1)^{i_4} j_4)}. \quad (2)$$

### Lemma

*There is no bidihedral skew brace  $(G, \bullet, \circ)$  of order  $8m$  that yields either of the circle operations of type (2) on  $H$ .*

## The case $H^\circ$ dihedral

### Lemma

There is no bidihedral skew brace  $(G, \bullet, \circ)$  of order  $8m$  such that

$$r^{4i_4+mi_m} \circ r^{4j_4+mj_m} = r^{4(i_4+(-1)^{\frac{im(im-1)}{2}}j_4)+m(i_4+(-1)^4j_4)}.$$

### Proof.

If so, the element  $r^{4+m}$  has order  $2m$  in  $G^\circ$ .

Hence there exists  $a \in G - H$  such that  $a \circ a = r^{4+m}$ .

Now consider  $a \circ a \circ r^m$ .

We have  $a \circ a \circ r^m = r^{4+m} \circ r^m = r^4$ .

But also, using  $a \circ r^m = a\gamma_a(r)^m$ , we find  $a \circ a \circ r^m = r^4 r^{m(1+u^2)}$

for some  $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Hence  $m(1+u^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{4m}$ , so  $1+u^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , a contradiction. □

## The case $H^\circ$ dihedral

The circle operation on  $H$  is  $r^i \circ r^j = r^{i+(-1)^j j}$ .

- We find that  $r$  is a reflection in  $H^\circ$ ; write  $b = r$ .
- We find that  $r^2$  has order  $n/2$  in  $H^\circ$ .

Hence there exists  $a = r^\alpha s \in G$  such that  $a \circ a = r^2$ .

- Then  $a, b$  generate  $G^\circ$  and  $G^\bullet$  (for different reasons).
- As before, the skew brace  $(G, \bullet, \circ)$  is determined by

$$\gamma_a(a), \gamma_a(b), \gamma_b(a), \gamma_b(b).$$

- We find:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_a(a) &= b^{-2}a, & \gamma_a(b) &= b^k \text{ with } k^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}, \\ \gamma_b(a) &= b^{k-1}a, & \gamma_b(b) &= b^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

### Question

Which of these can we realize? What are the isomorphism classes?

Thank you for your attention.